

# **Review of the Environmental Impact Assessment for the Baku-Tbilisi-Ceyhan oil pipeline (Turkey Section)**

---

October 2003

**Amis de la Terre** (France)  
**Baku Ceyhan Campaign** (UK)  
**Campagna per la Riforma della Banca  
Mondiale** (Italy)  
**CEE Bankwatch Network** (Central &  
Eastern Europe)  
**The Corner House** (UK)  
**Environmental Defense Fund** (USA)  
**Friends of the Earth (England, Wales  
& Northern Ireland)**  
**Friends of the Earth Japan**  
**Green Alternative** (Georgia)  
**Halifax Initiative** (Canada)  
**Kurdish Human Rights Project** (UK)  
**Milieudefensie** (Netherlands)  
**PLATFORM** (UK)  
**Urgewald** (Germany)  
**WEED** (Germany)

# Contents

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1 Overview and recommendations
- 2 Legal regime
- 3 Consultation
- 4 Resettlement
- 5 Cultural heritage
- 6 Environmental assessment
- 7 Assessment of project alternatives
- 8 Ethnic minorities and vulnerable groups
- 9 Table 1 – Summary of breaches of project standards

- 1. Introduction**
- 2. Issues arising from legal regime for BTC project (Turkey section)**
  - 2.1 Summary
  - 2.2 Introduction
  - 2.3 The HGA and Turkey’s human rights and environmental obligations
  - 2.4 The HGA and Turkey’s Accession Agreements with the European Commission
  - 2.5 The Joint Statement and the OECD Guidelines on Multinational Enterprises
- 3. Consultation on the BTC project (Turkey section)**
  - 3.1 Summary
  - 3.2 Introduction
  - 3.3 Political and social context of consultation
  - 3.4 IFC policy OP 4.01 Environmental Assessment
  - 3.5 Other IFC and World Bank standards on consultation
  - 3.6 EBRD Environment Policy
  - 3.7 EU Directive on EIA
- 4. Land expropriation, compensation and resettlement on the BTC project (Turkey section)**
  - 4.1 Summary
  - 4.2 Introduction
  - 4.3 Host country law – breaches and over-rides
  - 4.4 Breaches of World Bank guidelines relating to resettlement
- 5. Cultural Heritage and the BTC project (Turkey section)**
  - 5.1 Summary
  - 5.2 Introduction
  - 5.3 Commercial timetable took precedence over need for adequate study
  - 5.4 World Bank Draft Operational Policy OP 4.11, Physical Cultural Resources
  - 5.5 IFC Policy on Cultural Property (OPN 11.03)

- 6. Environmental assessment and the BTC project (Turkey section)**
  - 6.1 Summary
  - 6.2 Introduction
  - 6.3 Legal regime for environmental assessment: Controversies over applicable standards
  - 6.4 Use of the HGA to override international best practice on environmental assessment
  - 6.5 EU Directive on EIA
  - 6.6 IFC policy OP 4.01 Environmental assessment
  - 6.7 International best practice with regard to pipeline projects in seismic areas
- 7. Lack of assessment of alternatives to the BTC project**
  - 7.1 Summary
  - 7.2 Introduction
  - 7.3 IFC policy OP 4.01 Environmental Assessment
- 8. Ethnic minorities and disadvantaged groups along the BTC pipeline (Turkey section)**
  - 8.1 Summary
  - 8.2 Introduction
  - 8.3 The applicability of OD 4.20 to Turkey's Kurdish minority
  - 8.4 "Vulnerable groups" – a flawed approach?
  - 8.5 Deficiencies in Project Policy
  - 8.6 Findings on ethnic minorities of Fact-Finding Mission
  - 8.7 Concrete breaches of OD 4.20

## **APPENDICES**

- Appendix 1 International Fact-Finding Mission – BTC pipeline, Turkey section, March 2003**
- Appendix 2 International Fact-Finding Mission – BTC pipeline, Turkey section, August 2002**
- Appendix 3 Testimonies of people affected by BTC pipeline**
- Appendix 4 Counsel's Opinion in the matter of the BTC pipeline (Phillip Moser)**
- Appendix 5 Complaint to Enlargement Directorate General of European Commission regarding BTC project agreements and Turkey's Accession Agreements**
- Appendix 6 Complaint under the OECD's Guidelines on Multinational Enterprises**
- Appendix 7 Memorandum of Understanding between European Commission and international financial institutions**